ciarly to the judge from the city of Baltimore. Living was more expensive in that city, and a judge should have pay enough to live like a gentleman wherever he may be obliged to reside. And he had another reason; a sufficient salary given directly from the Treasury would remove all temptation to obtain an increase of pay by indirect, and, he must say, reprehensible means. Under the existing system, the salaries of the judges of the city of Baltimore had been fixed at the same rate as the salaries of the judges from the country districts, which all conceded was much too low, and we found that there had been a continued struggle to increase those salaries by indirect means. He held in his hands a paper which showed the amounts paid the judges of Baltimore County Court, under the improper system of legislation to which he had referred. It was the report of the clerk of Baltimore County Court, made under the order of this body. From this statement it appears that the judges of that court are receiving about \$7,000 per annum above the salary proper fixed by law. And this sum is made up by taxes on the business in that court and commission on the funds passing through it. He asked the attention of the Convention to the report:

21	6,830 21	04	7,148 04	9	6,855 99	
44†	677 44	26	389	92	613 92	On taxes on insolvent discharges.
74	718 74	47	745	—	. 1,573 11	On insolvent estates
92	2,476 92	18#	3,232	37	2,262 37	Per centage on equity proceedings.
45	124	80	103	89	106 89	On executions
3 2	141	4	106	23	152	On Judgments
80	383	60	. 349	65	405	On bills in appeal and certioraries.
. 5	435	00	361	40	315	On bills in equity
44	\$1,872 44	0.4	\$1 ,861	42	\$1,426	on suits at law
					-	Amount paid on account of taxes
	1849.	•	1848.	•	1847.	•
					d 1849:	therito, for the years 1847, 1848 and 1849:
ents .	d suppleme	severa	1, and the	16	1828, ch.	laxes and per centage under act of 1828, ch. 161, and the several supplements
l.of	on accoun	ourl,	County Ch	ore	of Baltin	Of the amounts paid by the Judges of Baltimore County Court, on account of

*The amount of this item is increased this year beyond its fair average rate by reason of the payment in a single case (case of Mexican claims) of the extraordinary amount of \$1,180 94.

† Of this amount the sum of \$136 02 should be more properly reckoned among the proceeds

Now, a system like this was the worst that could be conceived, because the judge's salary was dependent on the the discharge of his judicial functions. The most mischievous system on the face of the earth for the payment of judicial labor was that by which the pay of the judge depended on granting the requests of suitors. This system had been growing up by piecemeal ever since 1829. And why had it been growing up? Because the judges had not been allowed, directly from the treasury, a resonable compensation for their services. Hence this reprehensible system of legislation. He did not intend to cast odium upon those judges. He had never heard their conduct complained of. But the system was wrong, and ought to be discountenanced; and he now went for liberal salaries to prevent all temptation to seeking an increase of compensation by indirect means. He was opposed to all perqui-He wanted the judges to receive a compensation which was fixed by law-a compensation sufficient for their services, and which should not be diminished while the judge was in office. And he would go further and say it should not be increased while he held his commission. The judge should stand perfectly independent, and he should know that when he went into office his salary was fixed, and not to be increased or-diminished whilst he was there.

Mr. Brown remarked, that it appeared to him that the argument of the gentleman from Somerset, (Mr. Crisfield,) could not apply in this case to the judges of the court of appeals. It might, possibly, apply to the judges of the county courts.

Mr. Cristield explained, that he was only going to show that an attempt might be made to obtain by indirect means that which ought to be allowed the judges by law—that was, a sufficient and adequate compensation for their services. The judges of the court of appeals could not get extra compensation, indirectly, as did-

some of the judges of other courts.

Mr. Brown remarked that that was rather a bad report to make—that some of the judges would adopt some means, without constitutional authority, to put money in their pockets. Now it was true that the judges of Baltimore city received large fees, but then they were on the bench all the time, and they came here, also, to sit in judgment on appeals sent in from the lower courts. And he, (Mr. B.,) could not see how they could make a list of extras. He held that the gentleman's argument was good as to the county judges; and he, (Mr. Brown,) should like to have an amendment put in, when the subject came up again, to turn those judges off the bench. He thought \$2,500 was a good salary to allow the judges of the court of appeals, and he would not reduce it.

Mr Buchanan hoped the gentleman from Somerset (Mr. Crisfield) would not suppose that

of this item for the year 1848. So much of it having accrued in that year, but not paid over by the clerk of the commissioners of insolvent debtors until in the year 1849.

(Signed) A. W. BRADFORD, Clerk Baltimore County Court.